

**SPECIAL MEETING  
OF THE  
PUTNAM COUNTY LEGISLATURE  
CALLED BY THE CLERK AT THE REQUEST OF THE CHAIRMAN  
TO BE HELD IN ROOM 318  
PUTNAM COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING  
CARMEL, NEW YORK 10512**

**Tuesday May 13, 2014  
(Immediately following Health & Protective Mtgs. starting @ 6:30 P.M.)**

The meeting was called to order at 8:07 P.M. by Chairman Albano who requested Legislator Oliverio lead in the Pledge of Allegiance. Upon roll call, Legislators Scuccimarra, Oliverio, Tartaro, Nacerino, Gross, Castellano, LoBue, Wright and Chairman Albano were present. Also present was Legislative Counsel Van Ross.

Item #3 – Approval/Amend Resolution #75 of 1998/Transit System Advertising was next. (This item was tabled from the Full Legislative Meeting held on Tuesday, May 6, 2013 which was previously approved at April Physical Services Mtg)

Transportation Manager Vinny Tamagna stated he requested for the Special Full Legislative meeting because of the importance of the Transit System Advertising (TSA) issue. He stated he apologized if he offended any of the Legislators at the Full Meeting May 6, 2014. He stated his intent is to be a good resource and to be transparent for the Legislature. He stated he would like to answer the questions from Legislator Oliverio's Memorandum dated May 8, 2014. He stated the first question from Legislator Oliverio's memorandum is, if it is legal for the Putnam County Chamber of Commerce ("Chamber"), an outside agency to run the TSA. Transportation Manager Tamagna stated that it is legal to use an outside agency and it is not different than other special services contracts. He stated the Legislature questioned if the Transportation Department started a Request for a Proposal ("RFP") process. He stated originally the Legislature gave \$50,000 to the Transportation Department to work with Consultant Jack Reilly for marketing purposes. He stated the Transportation Department considered using a marketing company but, it would have cost the County \$15,000. He stated the Chamber can do the same work as the outside marketing company for only \$5,000.

Legislator Oliverio questioned if the proposal request was a "true" RFP.

Transportation Manager Tamagna stated he conducted a "true" RFP. He stated he solicited vendors for the RFP and received five (5) responses.

Director of Purchasing Alex Mazzotta stated to clarify; the Transportation Department conducted a RFP for the Transit Consultant. He stated within that RFP there were numerous tasks that the Transit Consultant would assist the Transportation Department with, such as developing an RFP for an advertising program. He stated it costs approximately \$25,000 to develop an RFP to select a vendor. He stated the County's current policy requires an RFP if there is an expected expenditure of \$50,000 or more.

Transportation Manager Tamagna stated the information Director Mazzotta received from composing the RFP was very beneficial. He stated the Chamber represents the business community. He stated the Chamber will go out to community and manage the sales of

the advertising. He stated the second question on Legislator Oliverio's memorandum is; how much money was spent on the advertising? He stated there are two (2) parts to advertising; advertising to the public and selling the advertisements. He stated the County spent zero dollars in selling the advertisements. He stated in 2013, \$13.09 was spent to advertise to the public and in 2012, \$115.12 was spent to advertise to the public. He stated the third and fourth question on Legislator Oliverio's memorandum is; who would be making the advertising signs and who would install the signs on the buses? He stated the part and parcel for every expense for this program is owned by the Chamber. He stated the Chamber and their agents will conduct the design work, sell the advertisements and manufacture the advertisements. He stated the Chamber cannot put advertisements on the County's current transportation services provider, MV Transportation, therefore MV Transportation will install the advertisements on the buses. He stated the checks are all made out to the Putnam County's Commissioner of Finance for the full amount. He stated at the end of the period, the County will receive 70% of the profit and the company partnered with the Chamber, Rev Design Company will receive 30% of the profit.

Legislator LoBue stated for the record regarding transparency, at the last Physical Service meeting, Transportation Manager Tamagna wanted to discuss the issue of park benches. She stated at that time a proposal was unveiled which went straight to the Full Legislature meeting without a full discussion. She then stated she is concerned how she originally believed the Chamber was donating their services for the logo contest but in actuality the Chamber charged \$4,000 for their services. She stated she did not feel comfortable with this matter nor did she believe this arrangement was appropriate. She stated she believes the County should have a professional company come in to facilitate with the advertising. She stated there was also an issue with a particular company, Bike Country putting their signs on the Bike Path and on the County Golf Course. She questioned if Bike Country was involved with the TSA.

Transportation Manager Tamagna stated Bike Country is not involved with the TSA. He then stated the County has a great Putnam County business, Rev Design to help the Chamber.

Legislator LoBue questioned what the arrangement was between the Chamber and Rev Design.

Transportation Manager Tamagna stated Rev Design receives \$5,000 for startup costs and conducts all of the production work. He stated Rev Design is also responsible for all of the costs. He stated Rev Design Company will receive 30% of the total profit and the County will receive 70% of the total profit.

Legislator LoBue stated she believes the proposal is not good government and not good business. She stated she finds the proposal to be a conflict. She stated she noticed in Resolution #75 some language was taken out. She stated at one point the County was not accepting any advertisements from tobacco companies, liquor companies or political advertisements.

Transportation Manager Tamagna stated it is still County policy to not accept any advertisements from tobacco companies, liquor companies or any political advertisements.

**Legislator LoBue questioned why the advertisement policy was not amended in the proposed resolution amending resolution #75 of 1998.**

**Chairman Albano stated that advertisement policy is in the proposed resolution. He stated there is also a section where it states the County has a right to refuse advertising.**

**Legislator LoBue stated that Section #5 of the proposed resolution states: "subject to approval the Commissioner of Planning and/or the County's authorized designee". She stated the Legislature should be added since the Legislature handles the money and finance. She questioned if the County has a Commissioner of Planning.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated he is conducting a lot of work that the Commissioner of Planning would be doing.**

**Legislator LoBue questioned why Transportation Manager Tamagna signs letters stating he is the Deputy Commissioner of Planning.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated that is how he is introduced by County Executive Odell.**

**Legislator LoBue stated the proposed amendment to Resolution #75 of 1998 does not mention the 70% to 30% split between the Chamber and Rev Design Company.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated that the proposed resolution is broad versus the contract is more specific in details.**

**Legislator LoBue stated the Legislature is responsible for the finances of the County. She believed the 70/30% split should be mentioned in the proposed resolution.**

**Legislator Wright questioned when the contract was done because he specifically requested a copy and was told it was ready.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated the contract is ready to be signed but the approval of the contract from the Legislature is required before moving further.**

**Legislator Wright questioned if the contract will be seen in draft form.**

**Deputy County Attorney Sandra Fusco stated it is in draft form and the parameters of the agreement have been discussed as stated.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated that it was given to the Legislature in the original package he handed out as backup material. It was in draft form.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna then stated his concern of missing an opportunity to advertise on the trollies due to the contract not being signed. He stated the Trolley System is going to begin in two (2) weeks. He stated there are people interested in advertising on the trollies now. He did not believe they would be interested in advertising after the 4<sup>th</sup> of July or going into September.**

**Legislator Scuccimarra stated she believes this is a great proposal and believes the Legislature should move the proposal forward. She stated the TSA Proposal is a great way to receive revenue and advertise for businesses within the County.**

**Legislator LoBue stated for the record she did not have a problem with advertisements on the buses. She stated when she was Chairwoman of the Physical Services Committee two (2) meetings were held regarding the transit system being cut in the County Budget. It was the bus drivers and the public who believed it would be a great idea to advertise on the buses. She stated she is fully aware of the advertising opportunities.**

**Legislator Oliverio stated the Legislature needs to know the legalities of the matter. He believed that asking questions was part of our job as Legislators.**

**Legislator Tartaro questioned what the length of terms in the contract is.**

**Deputy County Attorney Fusco stated the terms in the contract are for a two (2) year period.**

**Legislator Gross stated he likes the idea of the County partnering with the Chamber.**

**Legislator Nacerino stated she believes this proposal is a “win, win” situation; there is only a modest fee, the County would be partnering with the Chamber, and the Legislature would be embracing the notion of “Shop Putnam”. She stated the County would be using resources within the County. She stated she applauds Transportation Manager Tamagna for conducting a comprehensive review and for being transparent with the Legislature. She stated she also applauds Legislator Oliverio for asking questions to clarify the matter. She stated she is supportive of the proposal and would like to move this matter forward.**

**Chairman Albano stated this proposal is time sensitive. He stated he does not want the County to miss an opportunity to generate revenue.**

**Legislator Wright stated the profit is a 70/30% split. He questioned if the County will only pay \$5,000 for startup costs.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated that is correct. He then stated there is an opportunity to sell advertising ranging anywhere from \$20,000 to \$200,000 throughout the year. The County would receive 70% of those sales.**

**Deputy County Attorney Fusco stated there is a \$5,000 startup cost. She stated the County will be the recipient for all of the funds coming in. She stated the County is in control of the TSA.**

**Legislator LoBue questioned if the Legislature could amend Section #5 of the proposed resolution to incorporate that the Legislature controls the money.**

**Legislative Counsel Clement Van Ross stated the Legislature should be setting the rates. He stated that in Section #5 of the proposed resolution there is language that states shall bestow approval “without limitation”.**

Transportation Manager Tamagna stated “without limitation” can be amended to “approval of the Legislature”.

Legislator LoBue stated she would approve the proposal if the language is amended in Section #5.

Legislator LoBue made a motion to amend Section #5 of the proposed resolution as mentioned above; seconded by Legislator Tartaro.

By Roll Call Vote: All Ayes. Carried Unanimously.

## RESOLUTION #126

### APPROVAL/AMEND RESOLUTION #75 OF 1998/TRANSIT SYSTEM ADVERTISING

WHEREAS, by Resolution #75 of 1998, the County of Putnam authorized certain policies and procedures for advertising on the Putnam County’s PART system; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Manager/Deputy Commissioner of Planning, Development and Public Transportation of Putnam County, in accordance with the Putnam County Task Force Recommendations of 2013, has suggested amending certain policies and procedures regarding advertising on the PART System and Shelters; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive and the Legislature of Putnam County have reviewed the proposed amendments to the policies and procedures established under Resolution #75 of 1998 and concur with the recommendations of the Transportation Manager/Deputy Commissioner of Planning, Development and Public Transportation of Putnam County, as set forth by the Putnam County Task Force Recommendations of 2013, and which are consistent with the recommendations of the Transportation Advisory Council; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that Resolution #75 of 1998 is amended to read as follows:

RESOLVED, that the policies and procedures for advertising on Putnam County’s PART system and shelters are as follows:

1. Potential advertisers shall contact the Putnam County Chambers of Commerce for information regarding advertising and ad placements.
2. Advertising space is available on a first come, first served basis and no refunds will be made under any circumstances.
3. The County has the right to reject any application for advertisement.
4. Public service announcements will be allowed at the County’s discretion and on a space available basis, subject to the same terms and conditions regarding maintenance and management costs in relation thereto.
5. The Putnam County Legislature hereby establishes the rates for advertising on the PART system and shelters and include, without limitation:

Fixed Route Buses (10 buses)

Interior Plan (12 available plans):

- Includes 1 16" X 7" advertisement on 6 buses
- Cost: \$400.00 per month

**Interior Jumbo & Super Jumbo Plans - (2 sizes available)**

- Super Jumbo (4 available) includes 1 24"X 36" advertisement
- Cost: \$200 per month
- Jumbo (6 available) includes 1 36"X 14" advertisement
- Cost: \$180 per month

**Exterior Bus Plan (10 available plans)**

- Includes 1 36" X 8" advertisement on one of 10 buses
- Cost: \$250 per month

**Para-Transit Buses (7 available)**

**Para-transit Interior Plan (7 available plans):**

- Includes 1 16" X 7" advertisement on 6 buses
- Cost: \$300.00 per month

**Bus Shelters (7 Existing shelters)**

**Shelter Ad Small (Presently 7 available plans)**

- Includes 1 22" X 12" advertisement on a select shelter
- \$200 per month

**Shelter Ad Jumbo (7 available plans)**

- Includes 1 51" X 47" advertisement on a select shelter
- \$350 per month

6. Except under limited circumstances pertaining to defective hardware or installation related damage to signs, replacement costs for any signs vandalized, damaged, stolen shall not be the responsibility of the County; however, the County shall, as applicable, be responsible to remove/re-install any such vandalized, damaged, stolen signs.
7. Payment by advertisers will be made by check or money order payable to the Putnam County Commissioner of Finance.
8. The Putnam County Chambers of Commerce is responsible for producing and delivering the final sign(s) for placement on the PART system/shelters to the County no later than thirty (30) days from the date the advertising space is rented. If thirty (30) days lapses and sign(s) are not delivered for placement, as applicable, on the PART system/shelters, the County may release the advertising space to the next available advertiser. If a problem is encountered in producing any sign(s), the Putnam County Chambers of Commerce should contact the County prior to the expiration of the thirty (30) day period to explain the delay and, at the County's discretion, additional time may be permitted for delivery of the final sign(s).

**BY ROLL CALL VOTE: ALL AYES. CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

**Item #4 – Approval/Budgetary Amendment (14A032)/Planning Department/Transportation/Putnam Moves Advertising Program was next. (This item was tabled from**

the Full Legislative Meeting held on Tuesday, May 6, 2013 which was previously approved at April Audit & Administration mtg.)

Chairman Albano made a motion to approve the following:

**RESOLUTION #127**

**APPROVAL/BUDGETARY AMENDMENT /PLANNING DEPARTMENT/ TRANSPORTATION/ PUTNAM MOVES ADVERTISING PROGRAM**

WHEREAS, the Transportation Program Manager has requested a budgetary amendment (14A032) to fund the Putnam Moves Advertising Program, a partnership between Putnam County and the Putnam County Chambers of Commerce; and

WHEREAS, in 2014 this advertising program is expected to generate \$15,000 in net revenue for Putnam County and result in increased future net revenues, thereby producing a property taxpayer savings;

WHEREAS, the Audit & Administration Committee has reviewed and approves said budgetary amendment; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the following budgetary amendment be made:

**GENERAL FUND:**

**Increase Estimated Revenue:**

10131000 428641	Transfer to Transportation Fund	15,000
-----------------	---------------------------------	--------

**Increase Estimated Appropriations:**

10199000 54980	Contingency	15,000
----------------	-------------	--------

**TRANSPORTATION FUND:**

**Increase Estimated Appropriations:**

95630000 54646 10111	Contracts	7,500
95630000 54664 10111	Advertising	2,500
99901000 59030	Transfer to General Fund	<u>15,000</u>
		25,000

**Increase Estimated Revenues:**

95630000 417892 10111	Revenue – Bus Advertising	25,000
-----------------------	---------------------------	--------

2014 Fiscal Impact (\$15,000)

2015 Fiscal Impact – Undetermined

**BY ROLL CALL VOTE: EIGHT AYES. ONE NAY – LEGISLATOR LOBUE. MOTION CARRIES.**

Item #5- Proposal/Alliance Bus Lease/Discussion was next.

Legislator Wright questioned if the actual lease with the Alliance Bus Company is in hand.

Transportation Manager Tamagna stated the lease is not. He stated there was a recommendation to get small vehicles like an SUV for transportation. He stated Alliance

**Bus Company has a SUV that can accommodate three (3) people in a wheel chair. He stated he only would like to lease one (1) SUV as a trial. He stated it is approximately \$50,000 to lease the SUV. He stated the SUV has a motorized wheel chair lift and other equipment to assist transporting the handicap. He stated in the future he would submit an RFP if the County would like to buy three (3) of the SUVs. He stated there would be a 10% fiscal impact to lease one (1) SUV.**

**Chairman Albano stated leasing one (1) SUV is worth trying.**

**Legislator Oliverio stated it is beneficial that more than one (1) wheel chair can fit in the vehicle.**

**Legislator LoBue questioned if the new SUV will be reimbursed.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated it is reimbursed 80% by the Federal Government; 10% from New York State and 10% from Putnam County.**

**Legislator Nacerino stated she believes this is a great opportunity to increase efficiency and effectiveness. She stated she applauds Transportation Manager Tamagna on the proposal.**

**Legislator Scuccimarra stated it has been a while since the County bought a new vehicle. She stated there will also be an expansion for the paratransit. She stated overall the proposal is a great idea.**

**Legislative Counsel Van Ross questioned if there will be a budgetary amendment.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated the proposal for the Alliance Buses will come out of the Federal 5311 Fund/ Operation Fund.**

**Clerk of the Legislature, Diane Schonfeld pointed out the proposal does not need a resolution. The fund transfer (14T072) only requires approval of the Audit Committee.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated that is correct the proposal does not need a resolution. He stated he just would like the Legislature to be aware of what the Transportation Department is doing.**

**Legislative Counsel Van Ross questioned if Transportation Manager Tamagna is asking for the Legislature to do anything with the proposal tonight.**

**Transportation Manager Tamagna stated no, the Federal 5311 Fund has to be approved in the Audit Committee meeting.**

**Item #6 – Approval/Appointments/Putnam County Industrial Development Agency was next. (This item was tabled from the Full Legislative Meeting held on Tuesday, May 6, 2013 which was previously approved at April Economic Development mtg.)**

**Chairman Albano stated he would like to enter in executive session.**

**Legislator Oliverio questioned on what grounds the Legislature could go into executive session. He stated he believes the Legislature can discuss the issue in public.**

**Legislator LoBue stated she has many reservations with this matter. She stated the issue with appointing individuals on the Industrial Development Agency (“IDA”) has been discussed numerous times. She questioned what the purpose of going into executive session was.**

**Chairman Albano stated there are still issues with this matter that were never resolved.**

**Legislator LoBue stated she does not want to participate in an executive session with this matter.**

**Legislative Counsel Van Ross questioned what the purpose of going into executive session was. He questioned if the Legislature would be discussing the qualifications and the backgrounds of the candidates, or which candidates should be appointed. He stated discussing which candidates to appoint was not grounds for executive session.**

**Chairman Albano stated the issue of qualifications and backgrounds of the candidates was never resolved.**

**Legislator LoBue stated that the Legislature “flip flopping” is not good government.**

**Legislator Gross stated that the Legislature should move forward with the previous agreement which they discussed and revisit this issue in December. He stated there are matters going on behind the scenes that he is not pleased with. He stated individuals from the IDA are not being treated fairly. He stated the Legislature has received recommendations from the IDA Chairman. He stated it is the IDA Chairman who the Legislature deals with in regards to appointing board members.**

**Legislator Scuccimarra stated it is ultimately the decision of the Legislature to appoint the IDA members.**

**Chairman Albano stated more discussion should be done regarding this matter.**

**Legislator LoBue stated to simply discuss the matter right now is an inappropriate use of the Special Full Legislature meeting. She stated this matter has been an embarrassment of the Legislature. She stated it is unprecedented to treat a board like the IDA Board with such disrespect. She stated when the outcome is not to the satisfaction of a number of people on the Legislature, there is more discussion; that is bad government.**

**Legislator Scuccimarra stated she is uncomfortable in how the IDA is functioning. She stated it is her prerogative to tell individuals that she is uncomfortable with the vote. She stated she does not know the candidates. She stated she is also unsure of the decisions that the IDA has made.**

**Chairman Albano stated he does not know the candidates, which creates a challenge in making a decision. He stated it is not a matter of getting his way and it is not a “political thing”. He stated a lot of issues came up during executive session.**

Legislator Oliverio stated the Legislature can bring the candidates before them and conduct interviews. He stated that interviews were conducted the Tilly Foster Committee was created. He stated he agrees with Legislator LoBue, in which an executive session is not needed.

Chairman Albano stated he would be in favor of interviewing the candidates.

IDA Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) Alan Wolfson stated the entire State of New York has a problem with the IDA system. He stated it is not well over-sighted by the Authority Budget Office (“ADO”). He stated there are no specific rules and regulations for any IDA in New York State to follow. He stated multiple IDAs have been criticized throughout New York. He stated the IDAs are being criticized but they are not being told what the rules and regulations are. He stated the people in charge of the IDA program in New York State are deficient. He concluded in stating criticism without real knowledge is unfair and unwarranted.

Chairman Albano stated in regards to criticism there are basic things the Legislature looks at; such as the reports that are supposed to be made. He stated he would like to see the IDA work at its best. He stated there are things that the IDA can improve upon.

CPA Wolfson questioned how the Legislature can judge the IDA when they are not aware of the regulations.

Legislator Tartaro stated he does not profess to know the workings of the IDA. He stated from a Legislator’s point of view he sees a report not being completed in a timely fashion. He stated he would like to move forward if everyone was in agreement with the two (2) alternates. He stated he had an issue in how the candidates were selected. He stated he would like all of the reports will be filed in 60 days. He stated he would like to make the appointments and then revisit the matter on December 31<sup>st</sup>.

IDA Chairman Richard Ruchala presented the IDA Board Members before the Legislature. He stated in the past the IDA gave recommendations to the Legislature and the Legislature accepted them. He stated the IDA is always reacting to the Legislature. He stated the audit report was good. He stated he supports Legislator Tartaro’s statement in making a decision and moving forward.

IDA Member Kevin Bailey stated the IDA started by 1996. He stated the agency is controlled by the Legislature. He stated the Legislature does not provide funding to the IDA. The funding for the IDA was through bonding. He stated every dollar spent by the IDA is accounted for. There are 32 projects which resulted to \$32 million in property tax dollars. He stated from the eleven (11) exceptions from the report; five (5) resulted from ST340’s. He then stated the IDA cannot run without a full time paid Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) because there are too many requirements and there are too many unfunded mandates. He stated in terms of selecting candidates, in 1996 it was always requested to have a member from each town. There is nothing wrong with this approach. He stated the IDA should move forward. He stated moving forward the IDA will need funding.

Legislator Tartaro stated looking at the budget and financial plan of 2013, the projection for 2014 there would be a \$50,000 personnel line and in 2015 there would be \$75,000

personnel line. He questioned if that amount would that satisfy the position recommended.

Mr. Bailey stated it would not be enough. He then stated there is nothing pending in the County.

Legislator Tartaro questioned how Mr. Bailey felt about having James Meyer as an alternate for the IDA Board.

Mr. Bailey stated he would not have an issue with that.

Legislator Scuccimarra questioned what was wrong with the other applicants the Legislature suggested.

Mr. Bailey stated the candidates were not presented before the IDA.

Legislator Oliverio stated the Legislature should move what came out of executive session.

Chairman Albano stated he would like to interview the candidates. He stated he would be in favor of having Chamber of Commerce President Bill Nulk on the IDA Board because all of the Legislators know him.

CPA Wolfson stated the board members have specific responsibilities. He stated the Legislature needs to be aware of the qualifications in order to select the candidates for the IDA.

Legislator Tartaro stated the majority of the Legislators would like to move forward. He stated he recommends the Legislature appoint three (3) people this evening until December 31<sup>st</sup> which provides the opportunity to reappoint IDA members if needed.

Legislator Scuccimarra stated she recommends having Randal Chiera, Bill Nulk, and John Zarcone on the IDA Board and then have Raymond Ruyack and James Meyer serve as alternates for the IDA Board.

Legislator Oliverio stated he agrees with Legislator Scuccimarra's recommendation.

Legislator LoBue stated the Legislature should support the IDA Board's recommendation.

Legislator Wright stated he is concerned with Legislator Scuccimarra's proposal. He stated one (1) of the proposed members has not been interviewed by the Legislature or the IDA Board. He stated the resolution brought to the last Full Legislature Meeting May 6, 2014 there was a review of each of the candidates by the IDA Board. He stated neither of the IDA Board alternate candidates was interviewed. He stated he is concerned with the Legislature taking control of selecting candidates for the IDA Board.

Legislator Gross stated there was an agreement after leaving the last executive session and the Legislature should vote on that agreement. He stated the Chairman of the IDA recommended Ray Ruyack and he should be a direct member of the IDA Board and not

an alternate. He stated one of the candidates Legislature Scuccimarra recommended has a record and should not be on the IDA.

Legislator Nacerino stated she does not know any of the candidates. She stated she would like to honor the process. She stated Mr. Wolfson's comments are well taken. She stated the Legislature came to a consensus in executive session and the Legislature should vote on the individuals that were agreed upon from the executive session. She stated it is the job of the Legislature to have oversight of the IDA. She stated the lack of audit reports did create some concern for the Legislature. She stated the lack of a full IDA Board also created concern for the Legislature. She concluded in stating the Legislature should move forward in honor of the agreement from the last executive session.

Legislator Castellano stated we are halfway through 2014. He stated this issue will be revisited. He is in favor with the agreement that came out of executive session.

Chairman Albano made a motion to move the following:

**RESOLUTION #128**

**APPROVAL/APPOINTMENT/PUTNAM COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY**

**RESOLVED**, that the following be appointed to the Putnam County Industrial Development Agency:

**Randall J. Chiera, Town of Philipstown, to fill an unexpired three (3) year term, said term to expire December 31, 2015.**

**William H. Nulk, Town of Kent, to fill an unexpired three (3) year term, said term to expire December 31, 2014.**

**Raymond Ruyack, Town of Southeast, to fill an unexpired three (3) year term, said term to expire December 31, 2015.**

**James T. Meyer, Town of Carmel, as an Alternate member, for a three (3) year term, said term to expire December 31, 2016.**

**John M. Zarcone, Town of Putnam Valley, as an Alternate member, for a three (3) year term, said term to expire December 31, 2016.**

**BY ROLL CALL VOTE: SIX AYES. THREE NAYS – LEGISLATORS OLIVERIO, SCUCCIMARRA & CHAIRMAN ALBANO. MOTION CARRIES.**

There being no further business, at 9:43 P.M., Chairman Albano made a motion to adjourn; Seconded by Legislator Oliverio. All in favor.

Respectfully submitted by Administrative Assistant Lisa Sommers.